Entanglement criteria for microscopic-macroscopic systems
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We discuss the conclusions that can be drawn on a recent experimental micro-macro entanglement test [De Martini, Sciarrino, and Vitelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 253601 (2008)]. The system under investigation is generated through optical parametric amplification of one photon belonging to an entangled pair. The adopted entanglement criterion makes it possible to infer the presence of entanglement before losses that occur on the macrostate under a specific assumption. In particular, an a priori knowledge of the system that generates the micro-macro pair is necessary to exclude a class of separable states that can reproduce the obtained experimental results. Finally, we discuss the feasibility of a micro-macro “genuine” entanglement test on the analyzed system by considering different strategies, which show that in principle a fraction $\epsilon$, proportional to the number of photons that survive the lossy process, of the original entanglement persists in any loss regime.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The observation of quantum phenomena, such as quantum entanglement [1], has been mainly limited to systems of only few particles. One of the main open challenges for an experimental test in systems of large size is the construction of suitable criteria for the detection of entanglement in bipartite macroscopic systems. Much effort has been devoted in the past few years in this direction. Some of them, such as the partial-transpose criterion developed by Peres in Ref. [2], require the tomographic reconstruction of the density matrix, which for a system of a large number of particles becomes highly demanding from an experimental point of view. In order to avoid the necessity of the complete reconstruction of the state, a class of tests where only few local measurements are performed has been introduced under the name of “entanglement witness” [3]. For bipartite systems of a large number of particles, this approach has been further investigated considering the possibility of exploiting collective measurements on the multiparticle state. Within this context, Duan et al. proposed a general criterion in Ref. [4] based on continuous variable [5] observables. This general criterion was subsequently applied to the quantum extension of the Stokes parameters [6,7] to obtain an entanglement bound for such kinds of variables [8]. Other approaches have been developed based on spin variables [9] or pseudo-Pauli operators [10]. An experimental application of this criteria based on collective spin measurements has been performed in a bipartite system of two gas samples [11]. However, an experimental realization of most of these criteria in the quantum optical domain requires photon-number-resolving detectors with unitary efficiency, which is beyond the current technology. A feasible approach for the analysis of multiphoton fields has been developed in the past few years and is based on the deliberate attenuation of the analyzed system up to the single-photon level. In this way, standard single-photon techniques and criteria can be used to investigate the properties of the field. The verification of the entanglement in the high-loss regime is evidence of the presence of entanglement before the attenuation, since no entanglement can be generated by local operations. Such an approach has been exploited in [12,13] to demonstrate the presence of entanglement in a high-gain spontaneous parametric down-conversion (SPDC) source of up to 12 photons. An analogous conclusion has been theoretically obtained in Ref. [14] on the same system by exploiting symmetry considerations of the source. The attenuation method has been also applied to a different system, making it possible to obtain an experimental proof of the presence of entanglement between a single-photon state and a multiphoton state generated through the process of optical parametric amplification in a universal cloning configuration of up to 12 photons [15].

In this article we discuss recent experimental results of a micro-macro entanglement test [16], where the system under investigation is realized through the process of optical parametric amplification [17,18] of an entangled photon pair. The exploited entanglement criterion is an extension of the spin-based single-particle criterion of Ref. [12]. Such an extension requires a supplementary assumption which will be clarified in the remaining part of this article. In Sec. II we briefly review the properties of the micro-macro system realized in Ref. [16]. Then in Sec. III we discuss in details the performed entanglement test. In particular, we focus on the conditions adopted in order to justify the exploited entanglement criterion. Finally, in Sec. IV we perform a theoretical analysis of the micro-macro system based on the parametric amplification of an entangled pair. Several approaches for the verification of the entanglement property of the system will be addressed, showing that a substantial fraction $\epsilon$ of the original entanglement survives even in high-loss condition.
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FIG. 1. (Color online) Scheme of the experimental setup. The main UV laser beam provides the OPA excitation field beam at $\lambda_p = 397.5\, \text{nm}$. A type-II BBO ($\beta$ barium borate) crystal (crystal 1, C1) generates pairs of photons with $\lambda_L = 795\, \text{nm}$. By virtue of the nonlocal correlations established between the modes $k_A$ and $k_B$, the preparation of a single photon on mode $k_B$ with polarization state $\pi_k \equiv \lambda/2$ is conditionally determined by detecting a single photon after proper polarization analysis on the mode $k_A$ (polarizing beamsplitter (PBS), $\lambda/2$ and $\lambda/4$ wave plates, Soleil-Babinet compensator (B), interferential filter (IF), avalanche photodiode (APD$_1$)). The photon belonging to $k_B$, together with the pump laser beam $k'_p$, is fed into a high-gain optical parametric amplifier consisting of a NL crystal 2 (C2), cut for collinear type-II phase matching. Measurement apparatus: the field is analyzed by two photomultipliers (PM1 and PM$_2$) and then discriminated through an O-filter (OF) device, whose action is described in the text. For more details, refer to [16].

II. MICRO-MACRO SYSTEM BY AMPLIFICATION OF AN ENTANGLED PHOTON PAIR

Let us first briefly review the micro-macro system of Ref. [16]. The system under investigation is given by the following micro-macro source. An entangled pair of two photons in the singlet state $|\psi^\prime\rangle_{A,B} = 2^{-1/2}(|H\rangle_A|V\rangle_B - |V\rangle_A|H\rangle_B)$ is produced through SPDC by crystal 1 (C1) pumped by a pulsed UV pump beam (Fig. 1). There $|H\rangle$ and $|V\rangle$ stand, respectively, for a single photon with horizontal and vertical polarization ($\pi_{H,V}$), while the labels $A$, $B$ refer to particles associated, respectively, with the spatial modes $k_A$ and $k_B$. The photon belonging to $k_B$, together with a strong UV pump beam, is injected into an optical parametric amplifier (OPA) consisting of a nonlinear crystal 2 (C2) pumped by the beam $k'_p$. The C2 is oriented for collinear operation, that is, emitting pairs of photons over the same spatial mode which supports two orthogonal $\pi$ modes, respectively horizontal and vertical. Then fringe patterns are recorded by varying the analyzed polarization in the single-photon site on the equatorial plane of the Bloch sphere, and keeping fixed the analyzed polarization in the $k_B$ mode.

The interaction Hamiltonian of the OPA device is given by $\hat{H}_{\text{OPA}} = i\hbar \chi \hat{a}_H^\dagger \hat{a}_V + \text{H.c.}$ In this collinear configuration, the quantum injected optical parametric amplifier (QIOPA) acts as an optimal phase-covariant cloning machine [19, 20], thus performing the optimal quantum cloning process for all single-photon states belonging to the equatorial plane of the polarization Bloch sphere, defined as $\pi_{H,V} = 2^{-1/2}(\pi_H + e^{i\phi}\pi_V)$. For all equatorial bases, the interaction Hamiltonian presents the same form: $\hat{H}_{\text{OPA}} = i\hbar \chi /2e^{i\phi}(\hat{a}_a^\dagger - \hat{a}_b^\dagger) + \text{H.c.}$ The overall micro-macro state after the amplification process reads

$$|\Psi^\prime\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\phi\rangle_A|\Phi^\phi\rangle_B - |\phi^\dagger\rangle_A|\Phi^{\phi\dagger}\rangle_B).$$

The output multiphoton states $|\Phi^{\phi}\rangle = \hat{U}_{\text{OPA}}|\phi\rangle$, where $|\phi\rangle$ labels the injection of a single-photon state with equatorial polarization, is given by the following expression:

$$|\Phi^{\phi}\rangle = \frac{1}{C^2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{ij} (2l + 1)\phi_i(2j)\phi_j\pi_L \rangle \langle \phi_i|,$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = (\frac{\Gamma}{2})^j (\frac{\Gamma}{2})^j e^{-\gamma(i+j)\phi} \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)!}{i!j!}}$, $\Gamma = \tanh g$, $C = \cosh g$, with $g = \chi t$ nonlinear gain of the amplifier. Hereafter, the state $|n\pi,m\pi_L\rangle$ labels a Fock state with $n$ photons with $\pi$ polarization and $m$ photons with $\pi_L$ polarization. For a detailed discussion on the properties of such states, we refer to Refs. [16,21].

Symmetry considerations based on the rotational invariance of the overall micro-macro singlet photon pair $|\psi^-\rangle$ and of the phase-covariant and information preserving properties of the adopted QIOPA lead to the conclusion that the two fringe patterns recorded in two different equatorial bases, $\{+, -\}$ ($\phi = 0$) and $\{R, L\}$ ($\phi = \pi/2$), are identical in the sense that the micro- and macrostates adopted in both cases, present the same Fock-space expansion. In practice, the experimental visibilities of the fringe patterns in such two equatorial bases have been found to be equal by [16], within the statistical errors.

III. ENTANGLEMENT TEST

In this section we discuss a recent entanglement test performed in Ref. [16]. The system under investigation is the micro-macro source discussed in the previous section. We focus our analysis on the exploited entanglement criterion, obtained as the extension of a spin-based criterion for a bipartite microscopic-microscopic system [12]. First, the criterion and its experimental implementation are introduced in detail, including an analysis of the regions of the system’s Hilbert space filtered by the detection strategy and a numerical analysis of the effects of a lossy process. Then the assumptions on the source necessary for the validity of the test are discussed.

A. Micro-micro entanglement witness

For a two-photon state $|\psi\rangle$, defined on two different modes $a$ and $b$, the entanglement is demonstrated by applying the following criterion. For any separable state, the following inequality holds [12, 22]:

$$\psi\langle \hat{\sigma}^{(a)}_1 \otimes \hat{\sigma}^{(b)}_2 | \langle \hat{\sigma}^{(a)}_2 \otimes \hat{\sigma}^{(b)}_1 | \psi \rangle + \psi\langle \hat{\sigma}^{(a)}_2 \otimes \hat{\sigma}^{(b)}_2 | \langle \hat{\sigma}^{(a)}_3 \otimes \hat{\sigma}^{(b)}_3 | \psi \rangle \leq 1,$$

where $|\psi\rangle = (\frac{\Gamma}{2})^j (\frac{\Gamma}{2})^j e^{-\gamma(i+j)\phi} \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)!}{i!j!}}$, $\Gamma = \tanh g$, $C = \cosh g$, with $g = \chi t$ nonlinear gain of the amplifier. Hereafter, the state $|n\pi,m\pi_L\rangle$ labels a Fock state with $n$ photons with $\pi$ polarization and $m$ photons with $\pi_L$ polarization. For a detailed discussion on the properties of such states, we refer to Refs. [16,21].

Symmetry considerations based on the rotational invariance of the overall micro-macro singlet photon pair $|\psi^-\rangle$ and of the phase-covariant and information preserving properties of the adopted QIOPA lead to the conclusion that the two fringe patterns recorded in two different equatorial bases, $\{+, -\}$ ($\phi = 0$) and $\{R, L\}$ ($\phi = \pi/2$), are identical in the sense that the micro- and macrostates adopted in both cases, present the same Fock-space expansion. In practice, the experimental visibilities of the fringe patterns in such two equatorial bases have been found to be equal by [16], within the statistical errors.
where $\hat{\sigma}_{1,2,3}$ are the Pauli operators and $\langle \cdot \rangle_\psi$ stands for the average on the state $|\psi\rangle$.

B. Micro-macro entanglement witness in the ideal case

The same criterion can be extended to a micro-macro scenario by measuring the pseudospin operators $\hat{\Sigma}_i$ on the macrostate, obtained through a unitary transformation upon the micro-micro state. Here, the $\hat{\Sigma}_i$ operators are the time evolution of the Pauli operators according to $\hat{\Sigma}_i = \hat{U} \hat{\sigma}_i \hat{U}^\dagger$, where $\hat{U}$ is the time evolution operator of the amplifier $\hat{U}_{OPE}$. The following inequality holds:

$$\langle \hat{\sigma}_1^{(a)} \otimes \hat{\Sigma}_1^{(b)} \rangle_\psi + \langle \hat{\sigma}_2^{(a)} \otimes \hat{\Sigma}_2^{(b)} \rangle_\psi + \langle \hat{\sigma}_3^{(a)} \otimes \hat{\Sigma}_3^{(b)} \rangle_\psi \leq 1,$$

where $i = 1,2,3$ refer to the polarization basis $1 \rightarrow \{H,V\}$, $2 \rightarrow \{R,L\}$, $3 \rightarrow \{+,\mp\}$. Since the operators $\hat{\Sigma}_i$ are built from the unitary evolution of eigenstates of $\hat{\sigma}_i$, they satisfy the same commutation rules of the single-particle 1/2 spin: $[\hat{\Sigma}_i, \hat{\Sigma}_j] = 2i \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\Sigma}_k$, where $\epsilon_{ijk}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor density. Indeed we have for $i = 1$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_1 = \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty \gamma_n \gamma_m^* \langle (n+1)H_n, HV \rangle \langle (m+1)H_m, MV \rangle$$

$$- \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty \gamma_n \gamma_m^* \langle H_n, (n+1)V \rangle \langle mH, (m+1)V \rangle,$$

where $\gamma_n = \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n+1}}$. For $i = 2,3$ we have

$$\hat{\Sigma}_i = \sum_{n,m,p,q=0}^\infty \gamma_{nm}^+ \gamma_{pq}^+ \langle (2n+1)\pi_i, (2m)\pi_i^\perp \rangle$$

$$\times \langle (2p+1)\pi_i, (2q)\pi_i^\perp \rangle - \sum_{n,m,p,q=0}^\infty \gamma_{nm}^- \gamma_{pq}^- \langle (2n)\pi_i, (2m+1)\pi_i^\perp \rangle \langle (2p)\pi_i, (2q+1)\pi_i^\perp \rangle,$$

where $\gamma_{nm}^+ = \frac{\Gamma_1^+}{\sqrt{\Gamma_1^+}} \sqrt{n+1}$, $\gamma_{nm}^- = \frac{\Gamma_1^-}{\sqrt{\Gamma_1^-}} \sqrt{n+1}$.

In Eq. (4) the state $|\psi\rangle$ is obtained by the amplification of the state $|\psi\rangle$ over the single spatial mode $k_0$ and can be identified as a two-qubit state of micro- and macrosystems. The following map holds:

$$|\pm\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi^{\pm}\rangle = U|\pm\rangle,$$

$$|R/L\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi^{R,L}\rangle = U|R/L\rangle,$$

where $\hat{U}$ is the unitary amplification operator.

C. Micro-macro entanglement in the lossy case

1. Implementation of the pseudo-Pauli operators: The O filter

Since measurements of Eqs. (5) and (6), which require the perfect discrimination of the number of photons present in the detected state, are out of reach of current technology, we have adopted another strategy which is based on the O-filter (OF) device, shown in Fig. 2(a). This method is based...
on a probabilistic discrimination of the macrostates $|\Phi^o\rangle$ and $|\Phi^{o,0}\rangle$, which exploits the macroscopic features present in their photon-number distributions.

Such measurement is implemented by an intensity measurement in the $|\pi,\pi^+\rangle$ basis, followed by an electronic processing of the signal. If $n_{x} - m_{x} > k$, the $+1$ outcome is assigned to the event; if $m_{x} + n_{x} > 2k$ the $-1$ outcome is assigned to the event. If $|n_{x} - m_{x}| < k$, an inconclusive outcome (0) is assigned to the event. The action of the OF is described by the following measurement observables, applied on the multiphoton state after losses:

$$\hat{\Pi}_z(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} n_{x} \hat{\pi}_{x,m} \hat{\pi}_{x,m}^+ \langle n_{x} \hat{\pi}_{x,m} \hat{\pi}_{x,m}^+ \rangle$$

The state after losses is no more a macroqubit living in a two-dimensional Hilbert space, but in general it is represented by the density matrix $\hat{\rho}_0^o$. Such a density matrix is obtained by applying to the macroqubit $|\Phi^o\rangle$ the map that describes the action of a lossy channel with transmittivity $\eta$: $\hat{L}[\hat{\rho}] = \sum_{k} \gamma_k \hat{\rho}^{\eta \gamma_k} \hat{\rho}^{\gamma_k}$, where $\gamma_k = \sqrt{\eta^{2k}/(2\eta k)}$ [22]. In order to describe the measurement results, all the average values of the measurement operators must be calculated with the density matrix of the state after losses $\hat{\rho}_0^o$. Nevertheless, the large difference in the photon number distribution along the distribution’s tails present in the macroqubits before losses is present also in the distribution of the macrostates after losses. A detailed discussion on the properties of the macrostates after losses in both the Fock space and the phase space is reported in Refs. [21,23]. By exploiting this feature of our system, this probabilistic detection method allows us to infer the generation before losses of a $|\Phi^o\rangle$ or a $|\Phi^{o,0}\rangle$ state by exploiting the information encoded in the imbalance of the number of photons present in the state after losses $\hat{\rho}_0^o$.

An analogous measurement scheme is shown in Fig. 2(b). The field is analyzed in polarization, and each branch is equally divided among a set of single-photon detectors, or avalanche photodiodes (APDs). Coincidences between the output transistor-transistor-logic signals are recorded for each analyzed polarization, and the (+1) or the (−1) outcomes are assigned depending on which of the two analyzed sets of APDs record the $N$-fold coincidence. If no $N$-fold coincidences are recorded, or both branches record them, the (0) inconclusive outcome is assigned to the event. This scheme performs the measurement of the $N$-th-order correlation function of the field, where $N$ is the number of detectors. We note that the OF-based and the multidetector-based schemes select analogous regions of the Fock space.

2. Filtering of the detected state

The entanglement test performed on our system in Ref. [16] is given by Eq. (4), where the $\hat{\Sigma}$ operators are replaced with the $\hat{\Pi}$ operators of the OF:

$$\psi(\hat{\Sigma}_a^\dagger \otimes \hat{\Pi}_z^b) |\psi\rangle + \psi(\hat{\Sigma}_b^\dagger \otimes \hat{\Pi}_z^a) |\psi\rangle + \psi(\hat{\Sigma}_c^\dagger \otimes \hat{\Pi}_z^b) |\psi\rangle \leq 1.$$  

The bound of Eq. (9) can be adopted as an entanglement witness by making a supplementary assumption on the micro-macro source, as discussed in the remainder of the article. On one side, we note that the measurement of the correlations for the entanglement test of Eq. (9) are performed in the same basis for Alice’s and Bob’s sites. However, care should be taken when a filtering of the detected state is performed. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the OF detection scheme corresponds to a Fock space filtering of the output state. The measurements performed on different polarization bases select different regions of the Fock space, corresponding to different portions of the density matrix. This is shown in Fig. 3, where the photon-number distribution of a $|n+0\rangle$ Fock state with $n = 10$ in the $\{|+,−\}$ and $\{|R,L\}$ polarization bases is reported. When measured with the OF device, such a state generates a conclusive (1) outcome in the $\{|+,−\}$ basis, since a strong imbalance is present between the two polarizations. On the contrary, in the $\{|R,L\}$ basis with high probability the state generates an inconclusive outcome (0) and is filtered out. This feature has no counterpart in the microqubit formalism: indeed the Hilbert space of the original photon is only two dimensional, so there is no risk of different subspaces being detected for different choices of measurement basis. Indeed, the presence of losses enlarges the dimension of the Hilbert space in which the macroqubit lives, and the criterion of Eq. (9) requires an auxiliary assumption on the micro-macro state.

3. Violation of the entanglement bound for a micro-macro separable state

Without any assumption on the investigated system, the inequality (9), that is, the original pseudo-Pauli criterion (4) where the $\{\hat{\Sigma}_i\}$ operators have been replaced with the $\{\hat{\Pi}_z\}$ ones, does not represent anymore a bound for entangled states. It is satisfied by separable states of the form [24]

$$\hat{\beta}_{sep} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \hat{U}(\phi) |1\pi,0\pi^+_a\rangle |0\pi_a, N\pi^+_b\rangle \langle 0\pi_a, N\pi^+_b | \hat{U}(\phi)^\dagger,$$

where $\hat{U}(\phi)$ is a rotation of the whole system polarization around the $z$ axis by an angle $\phi$.\n
 FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Photon-number distribution for a Fock state $|n+0\rangle$ with $n = 10$ in the $\{|+,−\}$ polarization basis. (b) Photon-number distribution for a Fock state $|n+0\rangle$ with $n = 10$ in the $\{|R,L\}$ polarization basis.
by a coherent amplification process of a single photon belonging to a black box configuration: no assumption is made about the source. (b) Micro-macro amplified system: the macroscopic state is generated by a coherent amplification process of a single photon belonging to an entangled pair in the singlet polarization state $|\psi^-\rangle$.

D. Auxiliary assumption on the micro-macro system

Despite the previous considerations, the OF-based strategy allows us to discriminate between different macrostates in a probabilistic way. When $k \to \infty$, the mean value of the $\hat{\Pi}_i$ operators, calculated over the real state $\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}_\eta^\phi \hat{\Pi}_i)$, tends to the mean value of the Pauli pseudospin operators, calculated over the ideal macroqubit one $\langle \Phi^\phi | \hat{\Pi}_i | \Phi^\phi \rangle$. Indeed, for asymptotically high values of the threshold $k \to \infty$, the measurement of the $\hat{\Pi}_i$ operators on the $\hat{\rho}_\eta^\phi$ allows perfect, although probabilistic in the spirit of positive operator-valued measurements (POVMs), discrimination of orthogonal states, as the pseudospin operator does for the macroqubits $|\Phi^\phi\rangle$. In other words, if the $|\Phi^+\rangle$ state is generated, the measurement with the $\hat{\Sigma}_i$ operator in the $\{+,-\}$ basis never leads to the $(-1)$ outcome. At the same time, the measurement of the $\hat{\rho}_\eta^+\Pi_i$ state after losses with the $\hat{\Pi}_i$ operator in the $\{+,-\}$ basis does not generate the $(-1)$ outcome if $k$ is large enough. According to these considerations, we can infer the presence of the macroqubit before losses and after the amplifier and then apply the original micro-macro inequality of Eq. (4). This inference implies an assumption on the micro-macro system: the macrostate has to be generated by an amplification process upon a micro-micro entangled pair. The difference between the general case of a micro-macro entangled setup and the one here described is pointed out in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. Therefore, the entanglement test performed by the OF scheme allows us to infer the presence of entanglement at least before losses and demonstrate the capability of amplifying an entangled pair in a coherent way. Indeed, the class of separable states in Eq. (10) cannot be generated by a coherent amplification process, and the coherence of amplification is furthermore demonstrated by the presence of interference fringes in two different polarization bases.

E. Properties of the O-filter detection strategy

In order to conclude our analysis on the O-filtering measurement technique, we calculate theoretically how the visibility of the fringe pattern obtained in the micro-macro amplified scheme scales with the amount of losses when it is measured with this detection strategy. The visibility is defined as

$$ V = \frac{P(+) - P(-)}{P(+) + P(-)}, $$

where $P(+)$ and $P(-)$ are the probability of obtaining, respectively, the $(+)$ and the $(-)$ outcome and are calculated as

$$ P(+1) = \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho}_\eta^\phi \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} |n\bar{n}_i,m\bar{m}_i\rangle \langle n\bar{n}_i,m\bar{m}_i| \right) \right], \quad (12) $$

$$ P(-1) = \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\rho}_\eta^\phi \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n-k} |n\bar{n}_i,m\bar{m}_i\rangle \langle n\bar{n}_i,m\bar{m}_i| \right) \right]. \quad (13) $$

We consider separately two different cases. (i) For a threshold $k = 0$, no filtering is performed on the analyzed state since the complete Fock space is selected by the OF device. In this case, the visibility is a decreasing function of the loss parameter $R = 1 - \eta$, where $\eta$ is the overall quantum efficiency of the channel. In Fig. 5 the trend of the visibility as a function of $R$ for $k = 0$ and a gain value $g = 1.8$ is reported. We note that the visibility decreases with $R$ since a larger amount of loss is responsible for the cancellation of a larger amount of entanglement. This point is clarified later in this article. (ii) For a threshold $k > 0$, the OF device performs a filtering of the detected state as discussed in the previous paragraphs. In this case, the visibility is an increasing function of the loss parameter $R = 1 - \eta$. In Fig. 6 the trend of the visibility as a function of $R$ for a gain value of $g = 1.8$, corresponding to $\langle n \rangle \sim 35$. 

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Micro-macro system source in a black box configuration: no assumption is made about the source. (b) Micro-macro amplified system: the macroscopic state is generated by a coherent amplification process of a single photon belonging to an entangled pair in the singlet polarization state $|\psi^-\rangle$.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Trend of the visibility as a function of the loss parameter $R$ for a threshold $k = 0$, $\langle n \rangle \sim 35$.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Trend of visibility as a function of the loss parameter $R$ for $k = 10$ (solid line), $k = 20$ (dashed line), and $k = 30$ (short-dashed line). All lines correspond to $\langle n \rangle \sim 35$. 
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an average number of generated photons \( n \sim 35 \) and several values of the threshold \( k \). The visibility increases with the loss parameter since, for the same value of the threshold \( k \), a tighter filtering of the detected wave function is performed for higher \( R \) due to the reduced average number of photons present in the state.

IV. GENERAL CRITERIA FOR MICRO-MACRO ENTANGLEMENT

In this section we analyze different approaches for an entanglement test in a microscopic-macroscopic system, and we discuss the application to the system of Ref. [16] obtained by optical parametric amplification of an entangled photon pair. As a first step, we develop a generalized entanglement witness criterion based on dichotomic measurements. We then apply this criterion for the specific case of the Pauli pseudospin operators previously introduced, showing the fragility under losses of this detection strategy. As a second step, we consider a different approach based on the deliberate attenuation of the multiphoton field. Such technique allows us to theoretically demonstrate the presence of entanglement in the investigated micro-macro system for any value of the amplifier gain and of the losses \( \eta \). The same conclusion can be drawn with a different approach based on the quantum Stokes operators already developed in [9] and applied to our micro-macro system in Refs. [24,25].

A. Generalized entanglement witness

For a set \( \{\hat{D}_i\} \) of dichotomic operators, without making any supplementary assumption, the bound to be violated in order to demonstrate the entanglement of the overall micro-macro system must be modified with respect to Eq. (2), and a necessary condition for separable states is given by the following inequality:

\[
S = \langle \hat{\sigma}_1 \otimes \hat{D}_2 \rangle_{\Psi} + \langle \hat{\sigma}_2 \otimes \hat{D}_2 \rangle_{\Psi} + \langle \hat{\sigma}_3 \otimes \hat{D}_2 \rangle_{\Psi} \leq \sqrt{3}.
\]

(14)

Details over the derivation of this criterion are reported in the Appendix. Such a criterion presents the interesting feature of not requiring any knowledge of the Hilbert space where the analyzed states live. Indeed, in the derivation of the bound (14) the only necessary assumption concerns the measurement operators, which can have only two possible outcomes (±1). We then applied the obtained criterion to evaluate the quantity \( S \) for the micro-macro state generated through the process of optical parametric amplification, for the specific choice of the Pauli pseudospin operators \( \{\hat{\Sigma}_i\} \) (5) and (6) as the measurement operators. More specifically, we evaluated the value of \( S \) as a function of the transmission efficiency \( \eta \) of the multiphoton mode \( k_B \) for several values of the gain \( g \) (Fig. 7). The value of \( S \) is then compared to the bound for separable states \( S_{\text{gen}} = \sqrt{3} \). We observe that this entanglement measurement is fragile under losses, since the value of \( S \) falls below the bound for separable states when the number of lost photons is \( R(n) \sim 1 \). Such result is expected since the Pauli operators make it possible to distinguish the \( |\Phi^\text{\textsc{f}}\rangle \) states exploiting the well-defined parity in the number of photons generated by the amplifier depending on the polarization of the input states.

In presence of losses, such a well-defined parity is quickly canceled, thus not making it possible to discriminate among the macrostates with this kind of measurement. This feature of the macrostates generated through the process of optical parametric amplification is reported and discussed in Refs. [23,26].

B. Entanglement detection in a highly attenuated scenario

An alternative approach can be used to demonstrate the presence of entanglement in our micro-macro configuration. The macroscopic field is deliberately attenuated up to the single-photon regime and detected through an APD. Such a method has been exploited to demonstrate the entanglement of up to 12 photons in a SPDC source [12] or in a micro-macro configuration [15]. The average number of photons impinging on the detector in this regime is then \( n(\eta) \ll 1 \), where \( \eta \) is the overall quantum efficiency of the channel. Under this condition, the probability of detecting more than one photon becomes negligible. The density matrix of the macroscopic state can be reduced to a one-photon subspace, and the joint micro-macro system is defined in a \( 2 \times 2 \) polarization Hilbert space spanned by the basis vectors \( \{|H\rangle_A|H\rangle_B,|H\rangle_A|V\rangle_B,|V\rangle_A|H\rangle_B,|V\rangle_A|V\rangle_B\rangle \}. \) The complete state \( \hat{\rho}_{\eta}^{AB} \) can then be evaluated by applying the map describing a lossy channel [14] to the micro-macro amplified state \( \hat{\rho}_{\eta}^{AB} = (\hat{I}^A \otimes U_{\text{OPA}}^B)(\hat{\psi}^-)^{AB}(\hat{I}^A \otimes U_{\text{OPA}}^B) \). We obtain the following expression:

\[
\hat{\rho}_{\eta}^{AB} = \frac{1}{1 + 3t^2} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & t^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}(1 + t^2) & -\frac{1}{2}(1 + t^2) & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2}(1 + t^2) & \frac{1}{2}(1 + t^2) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(15)

where

\[
t = (1 - \eta)\Gamma.
\]

(16)
In Fig. 8(a) we show the density matrix of the joint micro-macro system for a value of $g = 3$ and $\eta = 10^{-4}$, showing the presence of the off-diagonal terms even in the high-loss regime. This system is entangled for any value of the nonlinear gain $g$. This property can be tested by the application of the Peres criterion or by direct calculation of the concurrence, which reads

$$ C(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^{\eta}) = \left(1 - t^2 \right) \left(1 + 3t^2 \right) > 0. \tag{17} $$

This quantity is always positive, as plotted in Fig. 8(b), showing the presence of entanglement for any value of the gain. Since no entanglement can be generated with local operations (such as a lossy process) \cite{12}, the presence of entanglement in the highly attenuated regime is due to the presence of entanglement in the micro-macro system before losses.

This criterion allows us to discuss an important feature of the micro-macro system based on optical parametric amplification. The entanglement of this system is generated in the micro-micro source, where the singlet polarization state $|\psi^-\rangle$ is produced. The action of the amplifier is to broadcast the properties of the injected seed to the multiparticle state. In particular, the entanglement present in the original photon pair after the amplification process is transferred and shared among the generated particles (see Fig. 9). If a certain amount of loss is introduced in the macrostate and $\varepsilon$ is the percentage of photons that survive such decoherence process, the amount of entanglement detected after losses is reduced by a factor $\varepsilon$ but drops to 0 only if all particles are lost. Analytically, this feature is obtained by analyzing the expression (17) for $C(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^{\eta})$, in the high-gain limit ($\Gamma \sim 1$), the concurrence of our system in the highly attenuated regime becomes

$$ C(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^{\eta}) \sim \frac{1 - \Gamma^2}{1 + 3\Gamma^2} + \eta \frac{8\Gamma^2}{(1 + 3\Gamma^2)^2} \to \frac{1}{2} \frac{\eta}{\Gamma} \propto \eta, \tag{18} $$

being directly proportional to $\eta$, that is, the fraction of detected photons.

To conclude these considerations, we extend the analysis of the micro-macro amplified system in this highly attenuated scenario to the case where the injection of the single-photon in the OPA occur with a nonunitary efficiency $p < 1$. Such a parameter represents the amount of matching (spectral, spatial, and temporal) between the optical mode of the amplifier and the optical mode of the injected single photon. To model this source of experimental imperfection, the joint state between the two modes $k_A$ and $k_B$ before amplification is described by $\hat{\rho}_p = \rho|\psi^+\rangle_{AB}\langle\psi^-| + (1 - p)\frac{|\psi^-\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |0\rangle_B|0\rangle$, where $\hat{I}_A = |H\rangle_A\langle H| + |V\rangle_A\langle V|$ stands for a completely mixed polarization state and $|0\rangle_B|0\rangle$ represents the vacuum input state. By following the same procedure described for the $p = 1$ case, the density matrix of the joint micro-macro system after amplification and losses in the highly attenuated regime reads

$$ \hat{\rho}_{AB} = \mathcal{N}_{\eta, p}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(1 + t^2) & -\frac{1}{2}(1 + t^2) & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2}(1 + t^2) & \frac{1}{2}(1 + t^2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} + (1 - p)\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19} $$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\eta, p}$ is the opportune normalization constant. In Figs. 10(a) and 10(b) we show the density matrix for a gain value $g = 3$ for $\eta = 10^{-4}$ and injection probabilities of $p = 0.5$ and $p = 0.15$. The effect of a decreasing injection probability $p$ is the reduction of the off-diagonal terms and hence of the coherence terms. The application of the Peres criterion on this density matrix gives a critical value of the injection probability $p_{\text{crit}} = \frac{2(1 - \eta)}{1 + 3\eta}$. For $p > p_{\text{crit}}$, the micro-macro system in this highly attenuated regime is entangled, while for $p \leq p_{\text{crit}}$ the system is separable. The same result is confirmed by the calculation of the concurrence, which reads

$$ C(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^{\eta}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p(1 - t^2) - (1 - p)8\Gamma^2(1 - t^2)}{p(1 + 3\Gamma^2) + 2(1 - p)8\Gamma^2(1 - t^2)} & \text{for } p > p_{\text{crit}}, \\ 0 & \text{for } p \leq p_{\text{crit}}. \tag{20} \end{cases} $$

In Fig. 10(c) we report the plot of the concurrence as a function of the gain $g$ for several values of the injection probability $p$ and $\eta = 10^{-4}$. For decreasing $p$, the concurrence drops to 0 for a lower value of the gain. Furthermore, in Fig. 10(d) we report the plot of the critical injection probability $p_{\text{crit}}$ as a function of the gain $g$ and the transmission efficiency $\eta$. 

[Note: The text is a transcription of the original document, ensuring that all mathematical expressions and figures are accurately represented.]

**Figure 8.** (Color online) (a) Density matrix of joint micro-macro system in the high-loss regime for a gain value of $g = 3$ and a value of the loss parameter $\eta = 10^{-4}$. (b) Plot of the concurrence $C(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^{\eta})$ as a function of the parameter $t = \Gamma(1 - \eta)$. We note the persistence of the off-diagonal terms and entanglement for all values of $g$ and $\eta$. 

**Figure 8(b).** Plot of the concurrence $C(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^{\eta})$ as a function of the parameter $t = \Gamma(1 - \eta)$.
As the gain \( g \) is increased, the value of the critical injection probability increases up to a value close to 1. This means that, for high values of the gain, a high injection efficiency is requested to detect the entanglement with such measurement strategy.

\[ p = 0 \] 
\[ p = 1 \]

\[ (\sigma \cdot J) - \langle N^B \rangle = 2 \eta \geq 0, \]

\[ (\sigma \cdot J) - \langle N^B \rangle \leq 0, \]

C. Spin-based entanglement criterion

Another approach for a micro-macro entanglement test is based on the detection of the quantum Stokes operators, defined as \( J^B_i = b^+_i \sigma_i - b^+_i \sigma_i \). For a micro-macro system, the following inequality, found by Simon et al. in Ref. [9], holds for any separable state:

\[ |(\sigma \cdot J)| - \langle N^B \rangle \leq 0, \]

where \( N^B \) is the photon-number operator. For the micro-macro configuration under investigation, the following result [24,25] holds:

\[ |(\sigma \cdot J)| - \langle N^B \rangle = 2 \eta \geq 0, \]

thus violating the bound for separable states. Again, some entanglement survives for any value of the gain and of the loss parameter \( \eta \), and the amount of entanglement is proportional to the number of detected photons. However, such a criterion is not feasible from an experimental point of view since the measurement of the Stokes operators requires perfect discrimination in the photon number, as in the pseudospin operator case.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we analyzed several classes of entanglement criteria for bipartite systems of a large number of particles. In particular, we addressed a specific joint microscopic, that is, composed of a single particle, and macroscopic system based on optical parametric amplification of an entangled photon pair. A first experimental entanglement test on this system has been recently reported in Ref. [16]. We analyzed in detail the conclusions that can be drawn by this experiment. The adopted entanglement criterion in that article made it possible to infer the presence of entanglement after the amplification process before losses in the detection apparatus. The validity of the test, however, requires a specific assumption on the system that generates the micro-macro pair. An a priori knowledge of the source is necessary in order to exclude a class of separable states that can reproduce the obtained experimental results. One of the reasons for the necessity of this assumption is given by the exploited detection strategy, which presents the feature of a POVM with an inconclusive outcome which depends on the measurement basis. Such a problem has been recently investigated in Ref. [27] within the context of a nonlocality test in macroscopic systems. In that article it was shown that a Bell test based on a generalized dichotomic measurement, that is, with an inconclusive outcome, makes it possible to exclude only a class of local-hidden-variable (LHV) models.

A more general approach to the micro-macro entanglement problem in the investigated system is addressed in the rest of the article. We discussed different entanglement criteria which do not require any supplementary assumption on the source and applied these approaches to the micro-macro system based on optical parametric amplification. We first derived a general bound for an entanglement criterion based on dichotomic operators. Then an approach based on deliberate attenuation of the multiphoton field to the single-photon regime, already introduced in Ref. [12], was applied to our system. This
analysis allowed us to show that a fraction $\varepsilon$ of the original entanglement of the entangled photon pair exists even in presence of losses, where $\varepsilon$ is proportional to the amount of lost particles. As a further perspective, the system based on parametric amplification can lead to the investigation of entanglement in a bipartite macroscopic-microscopic system [28,29].
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APPENDIX: GENERALIZED MICRO-MACRO ENTANGLEMENT CRITERION FOR DICHOTOMIC OPERATORS

In this appendix we demonstrate the inequality of Eq. (14), which gives a generalized bound for an entanglement test in a micro-macro bipartite system and dichotomic measurements. The proof is divided into two parts. First, a general treatment of dichotomic measurements is applied to the derivation of an entanglement criterion with no auxiliary assumption on the system under investigation. Then the obtained results are applied to a micro-macro scenario.

A. General treatment of dichotomic measurements

The density matrix of a separable state, composed of two subsystems $A$ and $B$, can be written as

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_i p_i (\hat{\rho}_i^A \otimes \hat{\rho}_i^B).$$  \hfill (A1)

We restrict our attention to the set of dichotomic measurements, that is, $(\pm 1)$ valued upon each subsystem $\hat{O}_A$ and $\hat{O}_B$, respectively. The average value of a generic measurement operator $\hat{O}^j = \hat{O}_A^j \otimes \hat{O}_B^j$ is given by $V^j = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{O}^j)$, where the superscript $j$ refers to a specific choice of the operator $\hat{O}^j$. The average value of the $i$th component of the decomposition of the density matrix reads

$$v^{ij} = \text{Tr}[ (\hat{\rho}_i^A \otimes \hat{\rho}_i^B) \hat{O}^j ].$$  \hfill (A2)

The average value $V^j$ can then be reexpressed as

$$V^j = \text{Tr} \left( \sum_i p_i (\hat{\rho}_i^A \otimes \hat{\rho}_i^B) \hat{O}^j \right) = \sum_i p_i v^{ij}. \hfill (A3)$$

The following inequality holds:

$$|V^j| = \left| \sum_i p_i v^{ij} \right| \leq \sum_i p_i |v^{ij}|, \hfill (A4)$$

since $p_i \geq 0$. The sum of the average value over three different operators $\hat{O}^j$, where $\{ j = 1, \ldots, 3 \}$, is given by the following expression:

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 |V^j| \leq \sum_i p_i |v^{i1}| + \sum_i p_i |v^{i2}| + \sum_i p_i |v^{i3}|$$

$$= \sum_i p_i (|v^{i1}| + |v^{i2}| + |v^{i3}|). \hfill (A5)$$

By definition (A2), we obtain for the $i$th component of the decomposition for a separable state:

$$v^{ij} = \text{Tr}[ (\hat{\rho}_i^A \otimes \hat{\rho}_i^B) (\hat{O}_A^j \otimes \hat{O}_B^j) ] = \text{Tr}_A (\hat{\rho}_i^A \hat{O}_A^j) \text{Tr}_B (\hat{\rho}_i^B \hat{O}_B^j) = v_A^{ij} v_B^{ij}. \hfill (A6)$$

Since $-1 \leq v_B^{ij} \leq 1$, the following inequality holds:

$$|v^{ij}| = |v_A^{ij} v_B^{ij}| \leq |v_A^{ij}|. \hfill (A7)$$

Hence, for a generic separable state, the following inequality holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 |V^j| \leq \sum_i p_i (|v^{i1}| + |v^{i2}| + |v^{i3}|)$$

$$\leq \sum_i p_i (|v^{i1}| + v_A^{ij}_+ + v_A^{ij}_-), \hfill (A8)$$

where the $|v^{i1}| + v_A^{ij}_+ + v_A^{ij}_-$ term is evaluated over the density matrix $\hat{\rho}_i^A$ for subsystem $A$. The latter can be always decomposed as

$$\hat{\rho}_i^A = \sum_n q_n^i |\psi_n^{A}\rangle \langle \psi_n^{A}|, \hfill (A9)$$

where the set $\{ q_n^i \}$ of probabilities satisfied the normalization condition $\sum_n q_n^i = 1$. We can then derive the following inequality:

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 |v_A^{ij}| = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left| \text{Tr} \left( \sum_n q_n^i |\psi_n^{A}\rangle \langle \psi_n^{A}| \hat{O}_A^j \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_n q_n^i \text{Tr}( (|\psi_n^{A}\rangle \langle \psi_n^{A}| \hat{O}_A^j )$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_n q_n^i \text{Tr}( (|\psi_n^{A}\rangle \langle \psi_n^{A}| \hat{O}_A^j ), \hfill (A10)$$

Substituting this result in Eq. (A8), by exploiting the normalization conditions for the coefficients $\{ p_i \}$ and $\{ q_n^i \}$ we obtain, due to convexity, the following inequality for all bipartite separable states:

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 |V^j| \leq \max_{|\psi\rangle} \sum_{j=1}^3 |A(\langle \psi | \hat{O}_A^j | \psi \rangle_A), \hfill (A11)$$

where the maximization is performed over all possible states of system $A$.

B. Specific micro-macro case

We now specialize the result of the previous section in the microscopic-macroscopic states, that is, when system $A$
is a single spin-1/2 particle. Let us make a specific choice for the measurement operators \( \hat{O}_A^{j} \}_{j=1}^3 \). For a single spin-1/2 particle, we choose the Pauli operators \( \{ \hat{\sigma}_A^{j} \}_{j=1}^3 \). Hereafter, we remove the subscript \( A \) in all the equations for simplicity of notation. The entanglement criterion (A11) for this choice of the system and operators then reads
\[
3 \sum_{j=1}^{3} |V^j| \leq \max_{|\psi\rangle} \sum_{j=1}^{3} |\langle \psi | \hat{\sigma}^j | \psi \rangle|.
\]
We now need to maximize the right-hand side of the latter equation over all possible choices of single-particle states \(|\psi\rangle = \alpha|+\rangle + \beta|-\rangle\). By applying the Lagrange multiplier method, the upper bound for the average of the Pauli operators reads
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{3} |\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{\sigma}^j)| \leq \sqrt{3}.
\]
Finally, we can write the following inequality for the joint microscopic-macroscopic system:
\[
3 \sum_{j=1}^{3} |V^j| \leq \sqrt{3}.
\]